IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 5272 OF 1994

Ramesh Vishwanath Gupte ...Petitioner

Versus

Maharashtra Housing &
Area Development Authority & Ors. ...
Respondents

None for the Petitioner

None for the Respondents.

CORAM: V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag.C.J., &
D.B. BHOSALE, J.
DATE: DECEMBER 7, 2005.

P.C.:-

1. When this matter was called out today, none appeared for the petitioner, nor was mentioned on behalf of the petitioner by any one. We have, therefore, no option but to dismiss it for want of prosecution. In the event the petitioner makes an application for restoration of the petition, apart from stating the reasons for absence at the time when the matter was called out, the petitioner shall also state the manner in which or the reasons for which, according to him, the petition even now survives.
2. The petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution. Rule is discharged. Ad-interim relief is vacated.